Dear Emery,

Very gled to get your file on the TAS. The letters are marvelous. The FBI slweys makes the truth look worse than it is.

In the consext of this file, you may find my interpretation of the letter LHO wrote Connally interesting. I go into it in WEITEWASH. It was apparent Oswald was then making the same claim.

I would like very much to be able to use this when I get back to work on AGENT OSWALD. Your 12/12 to Raikin would preclude use of his reply, but that is covered in the Hurley 12/30. If you get the Times of 11/26/63, I'd appreciate a copy of it. I do not recall having seen that particular story.

Great work!

If I seemed distracted when you phoned, it is not only because I had company but because I had just been disconnected while talking to my mother. I thought your call was that being reconnected. And she had just told me that my 86-year-old stepfather is hospitalized. This was, of course, on my mind and quite distracting. I was glad to hear from you and am sorry only that it was at a moment my mind was the captive of other things.

Again thanks, and have a good year. If you hear from Mitchell, I'll be interested.

Sincerely,